

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,439	03/09/2004	Gary Weller	SATTY 69244	4484	
7590 122772010 JOHN S. NAGY, ESO.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6660 CENTUCHEY, 10TH FLOOR			EREZO, DARWIN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			3773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
10/797,439	WELLER ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Darwin P. Erezo	3773				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 October 2010</u> .
2a)🛛	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is

closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

Α

4) Claim(s) 44-60 and 100-117 is/are pending in the application.
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 Of the above claim(s) <u>53-60 and 100-117</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-52</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
oplication Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(c) filed on ic/are: a) acconted or b) delicated to by the Examinor

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

__ is/are: a)[_] accepted or b)[_] objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO-948)	Paper Nr(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .	

Application/Control Number: 10/797,439 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

 This Office action is in response to the applicant's communication filed on 10/8/10.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 10/8/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. The applicant argued that Carter fails to teach the step of "adhering tissue from within each of the first and second acquisition members". The applicant refers to Figs. 8C and 8E for support. However, the examiner is relying on Figs. 9A and 9B of Carter for the recited limitation. As shown in Figs. 9A and 9B, Carter discloses tissue acquisition members 52 having grooves/channels for receiving tissue (the tissues would be acquired "within" said grooves/channel). Since Carter discloses acquisition members that are acquired within grooves, the modification with the teachings of Badie would be reasonable since both references deals with the grasping of tissues. The Geitz reference is also merely used in the combination rejection to teach that it is known in the art to perform surgery on hollow body organs. Carter discloses a device that created folds in tissues and would perform the same function when used in the methodology taught by Geitz.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the Application/Control Number: 10/797,439
Art Unit: 3773

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 44-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 US 6,478,791 to Carter et al. in view of US 6,592,596 to Geitz, and in further view of US
 5.250.075 to Badie.

Carter discloses a method of acquiring tissue comprising: positioning a first and second acquisition members 52 adjacent to a region of tissue, wherein the first and second acquisition members are in apposition to one another along a first longitudinal axis in an open configuration (Fig. 9A); adhering tissue with the first and second

Application/Control Number: 10/797,439

Art Unit: 3773

acquisition members by compressing the tissues between the first and second acquisition members in a closed configuration (Fig. 9B); fastening the tissue between the first and second acquisition members with at least one fastener 24; removing the first and second acquisition members from the region of tissue (after surgery); reconfiguring the first and second acquisition members from a closed configuration to an open configuration prior to positioning (Fig. 9A); wherein positioning the first acquisition member and the second acquisition member comprises aligning the members adjacent to a lesser curvature (closing the acquisition members); wherein adhering tissue could be is done simultaneously by the first and second acquisition members.

Carter is silent with regards to the method of folding tissues from within a hollow body organ by advancing the first and second acquisition members transesophageally into the hollow body organ (during surgery); wherein adhering tissue comprises drawing tissue into each of the first and second acquisition members via a vacuum force; and adhering tissue sequentially.

However, the use of a device for creating a fold from within a hollow body organ is well known in the art. For example, Geitz discloses a device that is advanced transesophageally into the stomach area (hollow body organ). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device of Carter to create a fold from within a hollow body organ since the use of such devices is well known in the art, as taught by Geitz, and since it has been held that use of a known technique (creating fold within a hollow body organ) to improve similar

Application/Control Number: 10/797,439

Art Unit: 3773

devices (fold creating devices) will yield predictable results. KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1742, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1396 (2007).

With regards to the step of adhering tissues by drawing the tissues using a vacuum force, Badie discloses that it is well known in the art to provide a suction port to an acquisition member (such as a leg). Badie provides the suction port for providing irrigation means to the distal end of the device, but the suction would also allow tissue to be adhered to the acquisition members. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Carter to have a suction force adhering means as it would better secure the tissues within the tissue acquiring members prior to closing said members, and it would also allow means for irrigating the surgical site.

With regards to the step of adhering the tissues sequentially, it is noted that such step would be a mere obvious design choice since the applicant has not disclosed that said step provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the acquiring tissues simultaneously or the claimed acquiring the tissues sequentially because both steps perform the same function of acquiring tissues.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,439 Page 6

Art Unit: 3773

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571)272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,439 Page 7

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773